AOP – Annex 1, assessment of the relative level of confidence in the overall AOP based on rank ordered weight of evidence elements.

IR appears to be a "complete" carcinogen in the mammary gland in that the toxin acts as an initiator through the formation of oxidative stress and pro-mutagenic DNA damage and (the MIEs) and as a promoter through increasing inflammation and proliferation, similar to many chemical carcinogens (Russo and Russo 1996). We have high confidence in the evidence linking stressor (IR) with adverse outcome (breast cancer). The weight of evidence for the first pathway from RONS and DNA damage to Mutation and Proliferation is High while the weight of evidence for the second pathway from RONS to Inflammation to Proliferation and Breast Cancer is Moderate. These evaluations are based on the supporting evidence for all KEs and the considerations in Annex 1, and based on the need for additional evidence in the essentiality of Inflammation for the genesis of breast cancer.

This AOP could not address the large number of related topics that interact with the key events described here. These topics include events following IR that may interact with these key events such as immune surveillance (which may change with the inflammatory environment after IR (Schreiber, Old et al. 2011; Barcellos-Hoff 2013; Lumniczky and Safrany 2015); IR effect on survival/apoptosis and interactions of apoptosis with inflammation, mutation, compensatory proliferation, and selection process; changes to DNA repair; and the role of epigenetics in carcinogenesis from IR (Daino, Nishimura et al. 2018). This AOP also does not address other influences on these key events beyond reproductive hormones and typical breast development. Subsequent contributions to this AOP should elaborate on these points.

	Defining question	High (Strong)	Moderate	Low (Weak)
2. Support for essentiality of KEs	Are downstream KEs and/or the AO prevented if an upstream KE is blocked?	Direct evidence from specifically designed experimental studies illustrating essentiality for at least one of the important KEs	Indirect evidence that sufficient modification of an expected modulating factor attenuates or augments a KE	No or contradictory experimental evidence of the essentiality of any of the KEs.
MIE: Increase in	Essentiality is High.	The most significan	t support comes froi	m the relatively
reactive oxygen and	large number of stu	dies using antioxida	nts or other interver	itions to reduce
nitrogen species	RONS, which show a	a reduction in DNA d	lamage and mutatio	ns. Additional
(RONS)	support comes from	n experiments increa	sing external oxidan	ts like H2O2, which
	show that RONS are	e independently cap	able of causing DNA	damage and
	mutations. Uncerta	inties arise from the	smaller effects of R	ONS on DNA
	damage compared	with ionizing radiati	on. Mammary gland	l relevance is less
	certain due to the re	elatively few experin	nents in breast tissue	2.
KE/AO: Increase in	Essentiality is High.	The essentiality of t	his MIE to cancer is	generally accepted.
DNA damage	Supporting evidence	e comes from applice	ation of mutagenic a	igents: the increase
	in DNA damage pre	cedes mutations, pro	oliferation, and tum	origenesis. Further
	indirect evidence co	mes from evidence f	for MIE1, in which ar	ntioxidants that
	reduce DNA damag	e also reduce mutati	ions and chromosom	nal damage. Finally,
	mutations in DNA re	epair genes increase	the risk of tumors.	-

KE/AO: Increase in	Essentiality is High.	The contribution of	this MIE to cancer is	s generally
mutation	accepted. Evidence comes from knock-out and knock-in experiments, which find			
	that mutations in certain key genes increase tumorigenesis. However, an			
	ongoing debate pits the singular importance of mutations against a significant			
	role for the tissue m	nicroenvironment. Th	nis debate is fueled b	y transplant studies
	that show the impo	rtance of tissue envi	ronment for tumoric	genesis and
	suggesting that mu	tations may not be s	ufficient for tumoria	jenesis.
KE: Increase, Cell	Essentiality is High.	, Cellular proliferatio	n is a key characteri	stic of cancer cells
Proliferation	and can lead to hyp	erplasia. an interme	diate phase in the d	evelopment of
(epithelial cells)	tumoriaenesis. Pro	liferation also incr	eases the number o	of cells with
	mutations, which a	can further promot	e proliferation and	Vor changes to the
	local microenviro	nment	e prongeranion and	a or entanges to the
KE/AO: Increase,	<b>Essentiality is High.</b> Evidence comes from transplant experiments showing that			
Ductal Hyperplasia	non-proliferating tissue is less tumorigenic than proliferating lesions, and from			
	interventions that r	educe both prolifera	tion and tumors. Fui	rther evidence
	comes from animals	s that are resistant t	o both mammary gl	and proliferation
	and tumors from io	nizing radiation. Und	certainty arises from	conflicting
	evidence on the tun	norigenicity of hyper	plasia, the absence	of hyperplasia
	observed before sor	me tumors, and spor	ntaneous regression	of tumors.
KEs: Tissue Resident	Essentiality is Mode	<b>Essentiality is Moderate.</b> These key events were reviewed as a group. Evidence		
Cell Activation,	comes from using genetic modifications, antibodies, and antioxidants to reduce			
Increased Pro-	inflammatory and a	inflammatory and anti-inflammatory factors. These interventions reduce DNA		
· . (1	damage, mutations, and mechanisms contributing to tumorigenesis and			
inflammatory	uumuye, mututions	, ana mechanisms co	ontributing to tumor	igenesis ana
mediators, Leukocyte	invasion. Uncertain	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic	cting effects in differ	ent genetic
mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation	invasion. Uncertain backgrounds and in	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs.	cting effects in differ	ent genetic
nflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs.	cting effects in differ	ent genetic
nflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation	invasion. Uncertained backgrounds and in Defining question	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. <b>High (Strong)</b>	ting effects in differ	ent genetic Low (Weak)
nflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation <b>1. Support for</b>	Defining question a. Is there a mechanistic	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive	Moderate	Empirical support for
nflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility	a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships.	Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or
nflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs	a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with	, and mechanisms ca ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific	Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional
nflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs	a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge2	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad eccentrace	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is	Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to	<b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge?	And mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete	Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood.
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in Defining question a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? High. Reactive oxyg	And mechanisms control ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO)	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in Defining question a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? High. Reactive oxyg activity are general	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to o	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under	ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in Defining question a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? High. Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions.	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance een and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to of in the form of pucket	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under	ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO)	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to of in the form of nucleo	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under	Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO)	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclear complex damage can	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation	Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double is, particularly when
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO)	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in Defining question a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? High. Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. High. DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance een and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can ergoes replication.	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation	Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double as, particularly when
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can ergoes replication.	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double as, particularly when normal biological
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells)	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech systems. Mutations	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can be goes replication. hanisms limit the pro-	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double as, particularly when normal biological mechanisms
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells)	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in Defining question a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? High. Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. High. DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und High. Multiple mech systems. Mutations promote proliferatio	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can ergoes replication. chanisms limit the pro- in many of the gene on.	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation oliferation of cells in es controlling these re	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double as, particularly when normal biological mechanisms
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) leads to	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech systems. Mutations promote proliferatio	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to of in the form of nuclea complex damage can ergoes replication. thanisms limit the pro- in many of the gene on. usibility is high since	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen and damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation oliferation of cells in es controlling these re-	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double as, particularly when normal biological mechanisms erally acknowledged
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) Increase in Mutation	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech systems. Mutations promote proliferation to increase mutation	And mechanisms control ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can be got a special of the complex damage can be got a special of the c	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation of cells in es controlling these re- proliferation is generation ating or amplifying t	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular estrand and double to, particularly when normal biological mechanisms erally acknowledged the impact of
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) Increase in Mutation	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech systems. Mutations promote proliferatio <b>High.</b> Biological plat to increase mutatio unrepaired DNA dam	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance eren and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can ergoes replication. hanisms limit the pro- in many of the gene on. usibility is high since mage as mutations.	Moderate KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete cies from oxygen an damage DNA under otide damage, single n generate mutation oliferation of cells in es controlling these re ating or amplifying t	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double is, particularly when normal biological mechanisms erally acknowledged the impact of
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) Increase in Mutation Increase in Mutation (epithelial cells) leads to Increase in Mutation	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech systems. Mutations promote proliferatio <b>High.</b> Biological pla- to increase mutatio unrepaired DNA dam <b>Not Specified.</b> This	, and mechanisms co ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spe ly acknowledged to a in the form of nuclea complex damage can ergoes replication. thanisms limit the pro- in many of the gene on. usibility is high since ns through incorpora mage as mutations. relationship is gener	Moderate     KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete     cies from oxygen and damage DNA under     otide damage, single n generate mutation     oliferation of cells in es controlling these re- ating or amplifying to ally accepted, but ex-	Igenesis and ent genetic Low (Weak) Empirical support for association between KEs, but the structural or functional relationship between them is not understood. d respiratory a range of cellular e strand and double as, particularly when normal biological mechanisms erally acknowledged the impact of
Inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte recruitment/activation 1. Support for biological plausibility of KERs MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO) DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO) Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) Increase in Mutation Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) leads to Increase in Mutation	invasion. Uncertains backgrounds and in <b>Defining question</b> a. Is there a mechanistic relationship between KEup and KEdown consistent with established biological knowledge? <b>High.</b> Reactive oxyg activity are general conditions. <b>High.</b> DNA damage strand breaks, and a a damaged cell und <b>High.</b> Multiple mech systems. Mutations promote proliferatio <b>High.</b> Biological plat to increase mutatio unrepaired DNA dat <b>Not Specified.</b> This b been compiled for t	And mechanisms control ty arises from conflic different organs. High (Strong) Extensive understanding of the KER based on extensive previous documentation and broad acceptance en and nitrogen spector by acknowledged to a in the form of nuclear complex damage can be a complex damage can be a co	Moderate     KER is plausible based on analogy to accepted biological relationships, but scientific understanding is incomplete     cies from oxygen an damage DNA under     otide damage, single n generate mutation     otide damage, single n generate mutation     otiferation of cells in es controlling these re- ating or amplifying to     ally accepted, but ex	Igenesis and     ent genetic     Low (Weak)     Empirical support for     association between KEs,     but the structural or     functional     relationship between     them is not understood.     d respiratory     a range of cellular     e strand and double     ps, particularly when     normal biological     mechanisms     erally acknowledged     the impact of     vidence has not

Increase, Ductal Hyperplasia increases risk of Breast Cancer (AO)	High. It is general risk of breast can that non-prolifered from intervention comes from anim tumors from ioniz the tumorigenicit some tumors, and	Illy accepted that pro cer. Evidence comes f ating tissue is less tun is that reduce both le als that are resistant ring radiation. Uncert y of hyperplasia, the d spontaneous regres	liferation and hyperp from transplant exper norigenic than prolife sions and tumors. Fur to both mammary gl cainty arises from con absence of hyperplast sion of tumors.	lasia increase the iments showing rating lesions, and ther evidence and hyperplasia and flicting evidence on ia observed before
Increase in DNA damage leads to Tissue resident cell activation	<b>High.</b> Biological p signals (DAMPS).	lausibility is high sinc	e DNA damage genei	rates inflammatory
Increase in RONS leads to Tissue resident cell activation	<i>Moderate.</i> Dama inflammatory pat primary signaling pathways (TLR4,	age from RONS can a hways (TLR, TGF-β), a pathways of multiple TNF-α, TGF-β, NFkB).	ctivate some inflamm and RONS are an esse e inflammatory and a	atory and anti- ential part of the nti-inflammatory
Tissue resident cell activation leads to Increase in Pro- inflammatory mediators	High. This relation	nship is well establish	ned.	
Pro-inflammatory mediators lead to Leukocyte recruitment/activation	High. This relationship is well established.			
Pro-inflammatory mediators, Laukocyte recruitment/ activation lead to an Increase in RONS	<i>High.</i> Inflammation is commonly understood to generate RONS via inflammatory signaling and activated immune cells.			
Pro-inflammatory mediators lead to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells)	<i>High.</i> Inflammation is generally understood to lead to proliferation during recovery from inflammation.			
Pro-inflammatory mediators promote Breast Cancer	<b>Moderate.</b> Tissue and inflammatory invasiveness of br	environment is know processes are implic reast and other cance	vn to be a major facto cated in the developm ers.	or in carcinogenesis, nent and
	Defining questions	High (Strong)	Moderate	Low (Weak)
3. Empirical support for KERs	Does empirical evidence support that a change in KEup leads to an appropriate change in KEdown? Does KEup occur at lower doses and earlier time points than KE down and is the incidence of KEup > than that for KEdown? Inconsistencies?	Multiple studies showing dependent change in both events following exposure to a wide range of specific stressors. No or few critical data gaps or conflicting data	Demonstrated dependent change in both events following exposure to a small number of stressors. Some inconsistencies with expected pattern that can be explained by various factors.	Limited or no studies reporting dependent change in both events following exposure to a specific stressor; and/or significant inconsistencies in empirical support across taxa and species that don't align with hypothesized AOP
MIE Increase in RONS leads to Increase in DNA damage (intermediate AO)	<b>High.</b> Multiple studies show an increase in DNA damage with RONS treatment as well as dependent changes in both RONS and DNA damage in response to stressors. DNA damage increases with RONS dose, and temporal concordance between RONS and DNA damage events following ionizing radiation is consistent with a causative relationship, although few studies examine multiple doses and time points. A small number of studies do not find double strand breaks at physiological doses, or report an increase in one key event but not the			

	other.
DNA damage leads to Increase in Mutations (intermediate AO)	<b>High.</b> It is generally accepted that DNA damage leads to mutations. Empirical support comes in part from the observation that agents which increase DNA damage also cause mutations, that DNA damage precedes the appearance of mutations, and that interventions to reduce DNA damage also reduce mutations. None of the identified studies measure both outcomes over the same range of time points. This constitutes a readily addressable data gap.
Increase in Mutations leads to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells)	<b>Moderate.</b> Mutations that promote proliferation are frequently found in cancers, and both mutation and proliferation occur in response to tumorigenic stressors like ionizing radiation. Although not measured together after stressors, mutations appear over the same time frame or prior to the appearance of proliferation. Multiple uncertainties and conflicting evidence weaken this key event relationship. The two key events differ in their dose response- mutation but not proliferation increases with ionizing radiation dose. Furthermore, a single mutation is not necessarily sufficient to increase proliferation- proliferation typically requires multiple mutations or a change in the surrounding environment. In mammary tissue, stromal state strongly influences the proliferative nature of epithelial cells – even epithelial cells with mutated tumor suppressors may be unable to form tumors in the absence of stromal changes.
Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) leads to Increase in Mutation	<b>High.</b> We did not evaluate the empirical support for this KER in response to IR. However proliferation or mitosis is required for some types of DNA damage to be made permanent and heritable, and further DNA damage including mutation promoting double strand breaks can occur when cells divide before DNA repair is complete.
Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells) leads to Increase, Ductal Hyperplasia (intermediate AO)	<b>Not specified.</b> This relationship is generally accepted, but evidence has not been compiled for this AOP.
Increase, Ductal Hyperplasia increases risk of Breast Cancer (AO)	<b>High.</b> Carcinogenic agents increase proliferation and hyperplasia as well as tumors. Proliferation and hyperplasia appear prior to or at the same time as tumors, grow into carcinomas, and form mammary tumors more effectively than non-proliferating tissue. Disruption of proliferation is associated with decreased tumor growth, and tumor resistant rats do not show proliferation. However, the discrepancy between the non-linear proliferative and linear mammary tumor response to carcinogen dose coupled with evidence of independent occurrences of proliferation and tumorigenesis suggests that while proliferation and hyperplasia likely promote carcinogenesis, additional factors also contribute to carcinogenesis.
Increase in DNA damage leads to Tissue resident cell activation	<b>Not Specified.</b> Biological plausibility is high since DNA damage generates inflammatory signals (DAMPS), but evidence has not been compiled for this AOP.
Increase in RONS leads to Tissue resident cell activation	<b>Moderate.</b> Both RONS and inflammation increase in response to agents that increase either RONS or inflammation. Multiple studies show dose-dependent changes in both RONS and inflammation in response to stressors including ionizing radiation and antioxidants. RONS have been measured at the same or earlier time points as inflammatory markers, but additional studies are needed

Tissue resident cell activation	to characterize the inflammatory response at the earliest time points to support causation. Uncertainties come from the positive feedback from inflammation to RONS potentially interfering with attempts to establish causality, and from the large number of inflammation related factors with differing responses to stressors and experimental variation.
leads to Increase in Pro- inflammatory mediators	not been compiled for this KER in mammary gland.
Pro-inflammatory mediators lead to Leukocyte recruitment/activation	<i>Moderate.</i> This relationship is generally accepted, but evidence has not been compiled for this KER in mammary gland.
Increase in Pro-inflammatory mediators and Leukocyte recruitment/ activation leads to an Increase in RONS lead to an Increase in RONS	<b>High.</b> Signals arising from inflammation can be both pro- and anti- inflammatory, and both can have effects on RONS and downstream key events. Multiple inflammation-related factors increase RONS or oxidative damage, and ionizing radiation increases both inflammation-related signaling and RONS or oxidative damage over the same time points. Interventions to reduce inflammation also reduce RONS. The dose-dependence of the response to stressors is generally consistent between the two key events, although this is based on a small number of studies with some conflicting evidence.
Pro-inflammatory mediators lead to Increase, Cell Proliferation (epithelial cells)	<b>High.</b> We did not evaluate the empirical support for this KER in response to IR. However, inflammation is generally understood to promote proliferation and survival
Pro-inflammatory mediators promote Breast Cancer	<b>Moderate.</b> Interventions to increase inflammatory factors increase the carcinogenic potential of targeted and non-targeted cells. Inflammation is documented at earlier time points than tumorigenesis or invasion- within minutes or hours compared to days to months for carcinogenesis, consistent with an inflammatory mechanism of tumorigenesis and invasion. Inhibition of cytokines, inflammatory signaling pathways, and downstream effectors of inflammation activity prevent transformation, tumorigenesis, and invasion following IR or stimulation of inflammatory pathways. However, the key event and the adverse outcome differ in their dose-response to ionizing radiation: inflammation always does not increase linearly with dose, while breast cancer and invasion does. Uncertainty arises from the multifunctional nature of inflammation-related pathways which may be pro- or anti-inflammatory and pro- or anti-carcinogenic based on context. Both pro- and anti-inflammatory factors may contribute to carcinogenesis-further research will be required to identify the context of each.

## Supporting Information for Essentiality Call to be Included in Annex 1:

### **Overall assessment of KEs**

IR appears to be a "complete" carcinogen in the mammary gland in that the stressor acts as an initiator through the formation of oxidative stress and pro-mutagenic DNA damage and (the MIEs) and as a promoter through increasing inflammation and proliferation, similar to many chemical carcinogens (Russo and Russo 1996). We have high confidence in the evidence linking stressor (IR) with adverse

outcome (breast cancer). The weight of evidence for the first pathway from RONS to DNA damage, Mutation, and Proliferation is High while the weight of evidence for the second pathway from RONS to Inflammation to Proliferation and Breast Cancer is Moderate. These evaluations are based on the supporting evidence for all KEs and the considerations in Annex 1, and based on the need for additional evidence in the essentiality of Inflammation for the genesis of breast cancer.

## **MIE1: Increase in RONS**

**Essentiality is High.** The most significant support comes from the relatively large number of studies using antioxidants or other interventions to reduce RONS, which show a reduction in DNA damage and mutations. Additional support comes from experiments increasing external oxidants like H2O2, which show that RONS are independently capable of causing DNA damage and mutations. Uncertainties arise from the smaller effects of RONS on DNA damage compared with ionizing radiation. Mammary gland relevance is less certain due to the relatively few experiments in breast tissue.

Multiple studies support the hypothesis that elevated RONS is a key part of the adverse outcome pathway for breast cancer from ionizing radiation. The strongest evidence comes from studies showing that reducing RONS also reduces DNA damage in irradiated cells and bystander cells, including genomic instability observed at later time points after IR. Free radical and NADPH oxidase inhibitors reduce the effect of IR on DNA nucleotide damage, double strand breaks, chromosomal damage, and mutations in isolated DNA and cultured cells (Winyard, Faux et al. 1992; Douki, Ravanat et al. 2006; Choi, Kang et al. 2007; Jones, Riggs et al. 2007; Ameziane-El-Hassani, Boufraqech et al. 2010; Ameziane-El-Hassani, Talbot et al. 2015; Manna, Das et al. 2015) and on nucleotide damage and double strand breaks in vivo (Pazhanisamy, Li et al. 2011; Ozyurt, Cevik et al. 2014). RONS reduction after ionizing radiation also reduces genomic instability in animals and in cloned cell lines (Dayal, Martin et al. 2008; Dayal, Martin et al. 2009; Pazhanisamy, Li et al. 2011; Bensimon, Biard et al. 2016). RONS are similarly implicated in IR effects in bystander cells. Antioxidants (including a nitric oxide scavenger) and oxidase inhibitors added before or after radiation reduce micronuclei and gamma-H2AX formation in bystander cells (Azzam, De Toledo et al. 2002; Yang, Asaad et al. 2005; Yang, Anzenberg et al. 2007). Antioxidant activity also reduces the inflammatory response to IR in animals and cultured skin cells (Berruyer, Martin et al. 2004; Das, Manna et al. 2014; Ozyurt, Cevik et al. 2014; Haddadi, Rezaeyan et al. 2017; Zhang, Zhu et al. 2017).

RONS are sufficient to trigger subsequent key events in this AOP. Extracellularly applied or intracellularly generated ROS (which also facilitates the formation of RNS) are capable of creating DNA damage in vitro including base damage, single and double strand breaks, and chromosomal damage (Oya, Yamamoto et al. 1986; Dahm-Daphi, Sass et al. 2000; Nakamura, Purvis et al. 2003; Gradzka and Iwanenko 2005; Ismail, Nystrom et al. 2005; Driessens, Versteyhe et al. 2009; Berdelle, Nikolova et al. 2011; Lorat, Brunner et al. 2015; Stanicka, Russell et al. 2015) and mutations (Sandhu and Birnboim 1997; Ameziane-El-Hassani, Boufraqech et al. 2010; Seager, Shah et al. 2012; Sharma, Collins et al. 2016). Similarly, decreased antioxidant activity and higher RONS is observed in cells with genomic instability (Dayal, Martin et al. 2008; Buonanno, de Toledo et al. 2011). To our knowledge, no experiments have tested whether elevating intracellular RONS alone in one group of cells can cause bystander effects in another.

#### **Evidence in Mammary Gland**

The increase of RONS following IR has been shown in a wide range of cells, in vivo and in vitro, including epithelial cells, and in two studies in mammary epithelial cells (Jones, Riggs et al. 2007; Bensimon, Biard et al. 2016). Both mammary cell studies also show increased RONS and DNA damage over a day after IR in vitro and link DNA damage with elevated RONS.

### **Uncertainties or Inconsistencies**

The mitigating effects of antioxidants on IR-generated DNA damage support the essentiality of RONS in producing DNA damage and mutations. However, externally applied RONS is less effective than IR at generating double strand breaks and mutations (Sandhu and Birnboim 1997; Dahm-Daphi, Sass et al. 2000; Gradzka and Iwanenko 2005; Ismail, Nystrom et al. 2005). One possible explanation for this discrepancy is that IR may elicit a higher concentration of localized RONS than can be achieved with external application of H2O2. IR deposits energy and oxidizes molecules within a relatively small area over a rapid timescale potentially permitting a very high local concentration which could precede or overwhelm local buffering capacity. In contrast, extracellularly applied H2O2 would interact with many antioxidants and other molecules on its way to the nucleus, where the concentration would slowly reach a lower steady state.

As expected for RONS as a key event for DNA damage from IR, DNA damage from IR and H2O2 are additive in cells (Dahm-Daphi, Sass et al. 2000; Driessens, Versteyhe et al. 2009). Unexpectedly however, inhibiting glutathione (which should increase or sustain the effects of RONS), increases DNA damage from H2O2 but not IR. This lack of effect of glutathione inhibition on IR conflicts with multiple studies showing decreased DNA damage from IR with anti-oxidants. One possible explanation is that the concentration or reaction rate of glutathione is already inadequate to buffer the elevated RONS from IR, so further inhibition has no measurable effect.

## KE/AO: Increase in DNA damage

**Essentiality is High.** The essentiality of this MIE to cancer is generally accepted. Supporting evidence comes from application of mutagenic agents: the increase in DNA damage precedes mutations, proliferation, and tumorigenesis. Further indirect evidence comes from evidence for MIE1, in which antioxidants that reduce DNA damage also reduce mutations and chromosomal damage. Finally, mutations in DNA repair genes increase the risk of tumors.

Increases or decreases in DNA damage are associated with corresponding increases or decreases in downstream key events in the pathway to breast cancer. An external agent (ionizing radiation) that increases DNA damage (Padula, Ponzinibbio et al. 2016) also causes chromosomal damage and increased mutations (Sandhu and Birnboim 1997; Jones, Riggs et al. 2007; Denissova, Nasello et al. 2012; Fibach and Rachmilewitz 2015), transforms cells (Yang, Craise et al. 1992; Yang, Georgy et al. 1997; Unger, Wienberg et al. 2010), and causes tumors (Poirier and Beland 1994; Little 2009). Polymorphisms or mutations in DNA repair genes affect tumor formation after ionizing radiation in animals (Yu, Okayasu et al. 2001; Umesako, Fujisawa et al. 2005) and in people (Millikan, Player et al. 2005; Andrieu, Easton et al. 2006; Broeks, Braaf et al. 2007; Bernstein, Haile et al. 2010; Brooks, Teraoka et al. 2012; Pijpe, Andrieu et al. 2012; Bernstein, Thomas et al. 2013). Consistent with these findings, antioxidants that

reduce DNA damage from stressors like IR also reduce chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei arising from those stressors (Azzam, De Toledo et al. 2002; Choi, Kang et al. 2007; Jones, Riggs et al. 2007).

## Evidence in mammary gland

The majority of research on the effects of IR on DNA damage has been performed in tissues other than mammary gland, but several studies suggest that effects in the mammary gland (and its consequences) would be consistent with other tissues. Oxidative DNA damage in mammary cells increases immediately after exposure to IR (Haegele, Wolfe et al. 1998), and double stranded breaks, micronuclei, and (later) chromosomal aberrations appear two hours to six days after IR exposure in vivo and in vitro (Soler, Pampalona et al. 2009; Snijders, Marchetti et al. 2012; Hernandez, Terradas et al. 2013). Genomic instability was reported in genetically susceptible cells after a month of higher doses of IR (4 doses of 1.8 Gy but not 0.75 Gy) (Snijders, Marchetti et al. 2012).

## **KE/AO: Increase in mutation**

**Essentiality is High.** The contribution of this MIE to cancer is generally accepted. Evidence comes from knock-out and knock-in experiments, which find that mutations in certain key genes increase tumorigenesis. However, an ongoing debate pits the singular importance of mutations against a significant role for the tissue microenvironment. This debate is fueled by transplant studies that show the importance of tissue environment for tumorigenesis and suggesting that mutations may not be sufficient for tumorigenesis.

Mutations increase transformation in culture (Wang, Su et al. 2011) and proliferation and tumors in mice (Radice, Ferreira-Cornwell et al. 1997; Umesako, Fujisawa et al. 2005; de Ostrovich, Lambertz et al. 2008; Podsypanina, Politi et al. 2008; Francis, Bergsied et al. 2009; Gustin, Karakas et al. 2009; Francis, Chakrabarti et al. 2011; Tao, Xiang et al. 2017). Restoring function in mutated genes regresses tumors in animals (Martins, Brown-Swigart et al. 2006; Podsypanina, Politi et al. 2008). Mutations are common in tumors (Haag, Hsu et al. 1996; Greenman, Stephens et al. 2007; Stratton, Campbell et al. 2009; CGAN (Cancer Genome Atlas Network) 2012; Vandin, Upfal et al. 2012; Garraway and Lander 2013; Vogelstein, Papadopoulos et al. 2013; Yang, Killian et al. 2015) and tumors are largely clonal, suggesting that individual mutations offer the tumor evolutionary advantages (Wang, Waters et al. 2014; Yates, Gerstung et al. 2015; Begg, Ostrovnaya et al. 2016).

## Evidence in mammary gland

Many of the studies in support of the proliferative and tumorigenic role of mutations are in mammary gland or breast cancers. Further support for including DNA damage and mutation in the mechanistic pathway linking ionizing radiation with breast cancer comes from the observation that variants in DNA repair genes increase the risk of mammary tumors in animals after IR (Yu, Okayasu et al. 2001; Umesako, Fujisawa et al. 2005) and increase breast cancer after IR (Millikan, Player et al. 2005; Andrieu, Easton et al. 2006; Broeks, Braaf et al. 2007; Bernstein, Haile et al. 2010; Brooks, Teraoka et al. 2012; Pijpe, Andrieu et al. 2012; Bernstein, Thomas et al. 2013). BRCA is perhaps the best known DNA repair gene linked with breast cancer risk, and several studies of these studies have suggested a link between BRCA mutation status and increased susceptibility to breast cancer following ionizing radiation, particularly in women exposed at younger ages (Pijpe, Andrieu et al. 2012).

### **Uncertainties or Inconsistencies**

Mutations alone are not sufficient or even essential for tumor growth in mammary glands. Mammary tumor incidence following ionizing radiation varies significantly by sex and depends on the presence of ovarian hormones (Cronkite, Shellabarger et al. 1960; Segaloff and Maxfield 1971; Shellabarger, Stone et al. 1976; Holtzman, Stone et al. 1979; Holtzman, Stone et al. 1981; Welsch, Goodrich-Smith et al. 1981; Clifton, Yasukawa-Barnes et al. 1985; Solleveld, van Zwieten et al. 1986; Broerse, Hennen et al. 1987; Lemon, Kumar et al. 1989; Inano, Suzuki et al. 1991; Inano, Suzuki et al. 1996; Peterson, Servinsky et al. 2005). Tumor growth from transplanted tumor cells varies with age, parity, and lactational status (Maffini, Calabro et al. 2005; McDaniel, Rumer et al. 2006), and stroma treated with carcinogens or IR supports tumors from pre-malignant epithelial cells (Barcellos-Hoff and Ravani 2000; Maffini, Soto et al. 2004; Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011). While the mechanisms underlying these contextual factors have not been clearly identified, the proliferative effect of hormones on the mammary gland may serve to amplify damaged and mutated cells and modify the stromal environment to increase the likelihood of cellular transformation. Inflammatory responses including the release of cytokines and the activation of inflammatory and anti-inflammatory signaling pathways likely also amplify the effects of DNA damage and mutations through many of the same mechanisms.

## **KE: Increase in proliferation**

**Essentiality is High.** Cellular proliferation is a key characteristic of cancer cells (Hanahan and Weinberg 2011) and can lead to hyperplasia, an intermediate phase in the development of tumorigenesis. Proliferation also increases the number of cells with mutations, which can further promote proliferation and/or changes to the local microenvironment.

## Evidence in mammary gland

Multiple studies show that mammary gland proliferates after IR or chemical carcinogen treatment prior to the appearance of mammary tumors. Epithelial cells proliferate following IR in vitro (Mukhopadhyay, Costes et al. 2010) and in vivo (Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011; Snijders, Marchetti et al. 2012; Suman, Johnson et al. 2012; Tang, Fernandez-Garcia et al. 2014). Increasing proliferation leads to hyperplasia (Korkaya, Paulson et al. 2009). Proliferative nodules and hyperplasia appear in mammary terminal end bud, alveolae, and ducts of rats and mice after exposure to chemical carcinogens (Beuving, Bern et al. 1967; Beuving, Faulkin et al. 1967; Russo, Saby et al. 1977; Purnell 1980) and ionizing radiation (Faulkin, Shellabarger et al. 1967; Ullrich and Preston 1991; Imaoka, Nishimura et al. 2006). Proliferating foci precede the development of tumors (Haslam and Bern 1977; Purnell 1980) and form tumors more effectively than non-proliferating tissue (Deome, Faulkin et al. 1959; Beuving 1968; Rivera, Hill et al. 1981).

Supporting the essentiality of these proliferative processes to tumorigenesis, ACI rats that exhibit no mammary proliferation or hyperplasia following IR are resistant to tumors following IR (Kutanzi, Koturbash et al. 2010). Interventions reducing proliferation in susceptible PyVT and BALB/c mice also reduce mammary tumors (Luo, Fan et al. 2009; Connelly, Barham et al. 2011; Tang, Fernandez-Garcia et al. 2014).

### **Uncertainties or Inconsistencies**

Some studies report carcinogenesis in the absence of hyperplasia (Sinha and Dao 1974) and others do not find increased tumorigenesis from transplanted hyperplasia (Beuving, Bern et al. 1967; Haslam and Bern 1977; Sinha and Dao 1977). The failure of some proliferative foci to form tumors and the regression of some tumors when formed (Haslam and Bern 1977; Purnell 1980; Korkola and Archer 1999) suggests that proliferation may not be sufficient for sustained tumorigenesis in mammary gland.

## KE/AO: Increase, ductal hyperplasia

**Essentiality is High.** Evidence comes from transplant experiments showing that non-proliferating tissue is less tumorigenic than proliferating lesions, and from interventions that reduce both proliferation and tumors. Further evidence comes from animals that are resistant to both mammary gland proliferation and tumors from ionizing radiation. Uncertainty arises from conflicting evidence on the tumorigenicity of hyperplasia, the absence of hyperplasia observed before some tumors, and spontaneous regression of tumors.

Hyperplasia signals the presence of excess proliferation (a key characteristic of cancer cells (Hanahan and Weinberg 2011)) and represents an intermediate phase in the development of tumorigenesis.

## Evidence in mammary gland

Multiple studies show that mammary gland proliferates after IR or chemical carcinogen treatment prior to the appearance of mammary tumors. Proliferative nodules and hyperplasia appear in mammary terminal end bud, alveolae, and ducts of rats and mice after exposure to chemical carcinogens (Beuving, Bern et al. 1967; Beuving, Faulkin et al. 1967; Russo, Saby et al. 1977; Purnell 1980) and ionizing radiation (Faulkin, Shellabarger et al. 1967; Ullrich and Preston 1991; Imaoka, Nishimura et al. 2006). Proliferating foci precede the development of tumors (Haslam and Bern 1977; Purnell 1980) and form tumors more effectively than non-proliferating tissue (Deome, Faulkin et al. 1959; Beuving 1968; Rivera, Hill et al. 1981). Adenocarcinomas in rats appear to preferentially form from terminal end bud hyperplasia (Haslam and Bern 1977; Russo, Saby et al. 1977; Purnell 1980), similar to the origin of many breast cancers for humans and for some mice after IR (Medina and Thompson 2000).

Supporting the essentiality of these proliferative processes to tumorigenesis, ACI rats that exhibit no mammary proliferation or hyperplasia following IR are resistant to tumors following IR (Kutanzi, Koturbash et al. 2010). Interventions reducing proliferation in susceptible PyVT and BALB/c mice also reduce mammary tumors (Luo, Fan et al. 2009; Connelly, Barham et al. 2011).

## **Uncertainties or Inconsistencies**

Some studies report carcinogenesis in the absence of hyperplasia (Sinha and Dao 1974) and others do not find increased tumorigenesis from transplanted hyperplasia (Beuving, Bern et al. 1967; Haslam and Bern 1977; Sinha and Dao 1977). The failure of some lesions to form tumors and the regression of some tumors when formed (Haslam and Bern 1977; Purnell 1980; Korkola and Archer 1999) suggests that hyperplasia alone may not be sufficient for sustained tumorigenesis in mammary gland.

# KEs: Tissue resident cell activation, Increase, Pro-inflammatory mediators, Leukocyte Recruitment/Activation

**Essentiality is Moderate.** These key events were reviewed as a group. Evidence comes from using genetic modifications, antibodies, and antioxidants to reduce inflammatory and anti-inflammatory factors. These interventions reduce DNA damage, mutations, and mechanisms contributing to tumorigenesis and invasion. Uncertainty arises from conflicting effects in different genetic backgrounds and in different organs.

Tumors and tumor cells exhibit features of inflammation, and inflammation is generally understood to promote transformation and tumor progression by supporting multiple hallmarks of cancer including oxidative activity and DNA damage, survival and proliferation, angiogenesis, and invasion and metastasis (Iliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2009; Hanahan and Weinberg 2011; Esquivel-Velazquez, Ostoa-Saloma et al. 2015).

Many of these cancer promoting effects of inflammation can be seen following exposure to ionizing radiation (Bisht, Bradbury et al. 2003; Elahi, Suraweera et al. 2009; Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011; Bouchard, Bouvette et al. 2013; Nguyen, Fredlund et al. 2013; Illa-Bochaca, Ouyang et al. 2014). Inflammatory pathways are commonly activated in breast and mammary cancers following IR (Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011; Nguyen, Fredlund et al. 2013; Illa-Bochaca, Ouyang et al. 2014). Polymorphisms in inflammation genes are associated with breast cancer risk from IR in radiation technologists (Schonfeld, Bhatti et al. 2010) and with susceptibility to intestinal adenoma following IR in mice (Elahi, Suraweera et al. 2009). Cytokines TGF- $\beta$  and IL6 transform primary human mammospheres and pre-malignant mammary epithelial cell lines in vitro and make them tumorigenic in vivo (Sansone, Storci et al. 2007; Iliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2009; Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011), and inflammation related factors COX2 and TGF- $\beta$  are required for the full effect of IR on DNA damage and transformation in vitro and mammary tumor growth and invasion in vivo (Bisht, Bradbury et al. 2003; Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011).

One mechanism of cancer promotion involves oxidative activity and DNA damage: inflammation in response to IR increases oxidative activity in a positive feedback loop leading to increased DNA lesions and mutations. Oxidative activity mediates the increase in inflammatory markers (TNF- $\alpha$  and neutrophil markers) in bladder and kidney (Ozyurt, Cevik et al. 2014), and TNF- $\alpha$  and neutrophils increase oxidative activity (Jackson, Gajewski et al. 1989; Stevens, Bucurenci et al. 1992; Zhang, Zhu et al. 2017). Inflammatory activity from neutrophils and TNF- $\alpha$  and NF-kB-dependent COX2 and NO damage DNA and increase mutations by increasing oxidative activity (Jackson, Gajewski et al. 1989; Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2005). The mutations can be reduced by blocking the inflammatory factors NF-kB, COX2, TNF- $\alpha$ , or nitric oxide, or with antioxidants (Jackson, Gajewski et al. 1989; Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2008; Zhang, Zhu et al. 2017). Antibodies to TNF- $\alpha$  or TGF- $\beta$  reduce DNA damage in bone marrow (Burr, Robinson et al. 2010; Rastogi, Coates et al. 2012) and CHO cells (Han, Chen et al. 2010). Inhibiting TNF- $\alpha$  also reduces genomic instability in directly irradiated (but not bystander) lymphocytes (Moore, Marsden

et al. 2005) and in bone marrow of CBA/Ca mice susceptible to IR-induced leukemia but not resistant C57BL/6 mice (Lorimore, Mukherjee et al. 2011). Inhibiting inflammatory factors NF-kB or iNOS reduces IR-induced bystander mutations in lung fibroblasts (Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2008).

Inflammatory pathways activated by IR are also capable of promoting tumor growth and metastasis. Exposure to IR or RONS sensitizes mammary epithelial cells to respond to TGF- $\beta$  - which is widely activated by IR (Ehrhart, Segarini et al. 1997). IR and TGF- $\beta$  signaling leads to an epithelial to mesenchymal (EMT)-like transition, which disrupts the expression and distribution of cell adhesion molecules and multicellular organization and promotes invasion (Park, Henshall-Powell et al. 2003; Andarawewa, Erickson et al. 2007; Andarawewa, Costes et al. 2011; Iizuka, Sasatani et al. 2017). This mechanism resembles wound healing (Koh and DiPietro 2011; Perez, Vago et al. 2014; Landen, Li et al. 2016), but also resembles malignancy - invasive breast cancer cell lines overexpress TGF- $\beta$  and respond to TGF- $\beta$  with increased invasion (Kim, Kim et al. 2004; Gomes, Terra et al. 2012).

The response to TGF- $\beta$  likely involves an increase in senescence in fibroblasts. IR-induced senescence releases a suite of signaling molecules including pro-inflammatory IL6 and proteases (MMPs) (Tsai, Chuang et al. 2005; Liakou, Mavrogonatou et al. 2016; Perrott, Wiley et al. 2017). The signaling molecules released by IR-senescent fibroblasts promote the disorganized tissue structure of mammary epithelial cells and the growth, EMT, and invasion of breast cancer epithelial cells or mutant epithelial cells (Tsai, Chuang et al. 2005; Liakou, Mavrogonatou et al. 2016; Perrott, Wiley et al. 2017) and 3D mammary tumor models (Sourisseau, Harrington et al. 2011). The induction of senescence in fibroblasts by IR requires TGF- $\beta$  (Liakou, Mavrogonatou et al. 2016), and the release of the pro-invasive signaling molecules involves an IL-1 dependent activation of NF-kB (Perrott, Wiley et al. 2017). Senescence following IR also selects for a post-senescent variant of epithelial cell that is more conducive to tumorigenesis (Mukhopadhyay, Costes et al. 2010).

II6 may play an important function in the carcinogenic response to IR. IL6 is expressed in mouse mammary gland after IR (Bouchard, Bouvette et al. 2013). IL6 is produced by IR-senescent fibroblasts, but may also be expressed by epithelial cells after IR since primary human mammospheres and premalignant mammary epithelial cell lines respond to IL6 with increased IL6 expression (Sansone, Storci et al. 2007; Iliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2009). IL6 promotes the mobility and tumorigenesis of normal and breast cancer epithelial cells (Sansone, Storci et al. 2007; Sasser, Sullivan et al. 2007; Studebaker, Storci et al. 2008; Iliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2009; Iliopoulos, Jaeger et al. 2010). This activity depends on transcription factor NOTCH3, which supports the renewal of stem-like cell populations (Sansone, Storci et al. 2007), and NOTCH has been implicated in multiple other studies in the proliferative response to IR in mammary epithelia (Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011; Marusyk, Tabassum et al. 2014; Tang, Fernandez-Garcia et al. 2014). The NF-kB/IL6/STAT3 signaling pathway generates cancer stem cells in multiple types of breast cancer cells (lliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2009; lliopoulos, Jaeger et al. 2010; Iliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2011) and is also implicated in colon and other cancers (Iliopoulos, Jaeger et al. 2010). The inflammation related transcription factor NF-kB also contributes to mammary tumorigenesis and metastasis in PyVt mice, in which mammary tumors are induced by expression of an MMTV-driven oncogene (Connelly, Barham et al. 2011). Interestingly, breast cancer fibroblasts and fibroblasts from common sites of breast cancer metastasis (bone, lung) express IL6. IL6 is required for the growth and

tumor promoting effects of these fibroblasts on ER-positive cancer cells in vitro and in vivo. ER-negative breast epithelial cells release autocrine IL6 and may therefore be less dependent on IL6 from fibroblasts, although IL6 also transforms these cells (Sasser, Sullivan et al. 2007; Studebaker, Storci et al. 2008; Iliopoulos, Hirsch et al. 2009).

Inflammation is suspected to play a role in the indirect effects of radiation, in which cells not directly targeted by radiation exhibit effects including DNA damage and RONS (Lorimore and Wright 2003; Mukherjee, Coates et al. 2014; Sprung, Ivashkevich et al. 2015). In addition to the IR-induced release of inflammatory signals that are diffusible and can trigger systemic immune responses, inflammatory factors COX2 and TGF- $\beta$  are produced in bystander cells that are not directly irradiated but are exposed to irradiated cells or media (Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2005; Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2008; Chai, Calaf et al. 2013; Chai, Lam et al. 2013; Wang, Wu et al. 2015).

Inflammatory factors TGF- $\beta$ , TNF- $\alpha$ , COX2, and NO are implicated in the RONS (Shao, Folkard et al. 2008; Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2008; Wang, Wu et al. 2015), DNA damage (Dickey, Baird et al. 2009; Han, Chen et al. 2010; Dickey, Baird et al. 2012; Chai, Calaf et al. 2013; Chai, Lam et al. 2013; Wang, Wu et al. 2015) and mutations (Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2005; Zhou, Ivanov et al. 2008) observed in bystander cells and in the appearance of genomic instability (Moore, Marsden et al. 2005; Natarajan, Gibbons et al. 2007; Lorimore, Chrystal et al. 2008; Lorimore, Mukherjee et al. 2011) after IR. Further evidence for inflammation in indirect effects of IR come from tumors arising from mammary epithelial cells transplanted into IR exposed cleared fat pads: inflammation-related genes and pathways are upregulated or enriched in the gene expression patters of these indirectly IR-induced tumors (Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011; Nguyen, Fredlund et al. 2013; Illa-Bochaca, Ouyang et al. 2014).

### Evidence in mammary gland

Many of the studies above that link inflammatory signals with increased oxidative activity, senescence, EMT, bystander effects, genomic instability, and tumorigenesis, and metastasis use mammary tissue. Since inflammation-related signals are reported after IR in mammary gland (Barcellos-Hoff, Derynck et al. 1994; Dickey, Baird et al. 2009; Datta, Hyduke et al. 2012; Snijders, Marchetti et al. 2012; Bouchard, Bouvette et al. 2013; Wang, Wu et al. 2015) inflammation likely contributes to many of the effects of IR in this tissue.

### Uncertainties or inconsistencies

The effects of inflammation can be both pro and anti-tumorigenic. For example, in addition to TGF- $\beta$ 's role in EMT, in mammary epithelial cells TGF- $\beta$  is essential to apoptosis of DNA damaged cells including damage following ionizing radiation (Ewan, Henshall-Powell et al. 2002), thus limiting genomic instability (Maxwell, Fleisch et al. 2008). Inflammatory factors TNF- $\alpha$  and COX2 play a similar role in bone marrow of C57BL/6 mice (Lorimore, Rastogi et al. 2013). By eliminating cells with severe DNA damage and curtailing genomic instability, apoptosis (and therefore TGF- $\beta$  or TNF- $\alpha$ ) limits the appearance of major (possibly carcinogenic) mutations following ionizing radiation. However, apoptosis (and thus TGF- $\beta$  or TNF- $\alpha$ ) can indirectly promote tumorigenesis through compensatory proliferation (Loree, Koturbash et al. 2006; Fogarty and Bergmann 2017).

Genetic background also influences the interaction between inflammation and tumorigenesis. Polymorphisms in inflammatory genes influence susceptibility to intestinal cancer following IR (Elahi, Suraweera et al. 2009). In the SPRET outbred mouse higher baseline TGF-β during development decreases tumor incidence following lower doses of IR (0.1 Gy), possibly by reducing ductal branching and susceptibility (Zhang, Lo et al. 2015). Conversely, the BALB/c mouse susceptible to mammary tumors after IR has a lower baseline TGF- $\beta$  (and a polymorphism in a DNA damage repair-related gene). Early (4 hours) after low dose (0.075 Gy) IR BALB/c mice have suppressed immune pathways and macrophage response but increased IL6, COX2, and TGF- $\beta$  pathway activation in mammary gland compared to the tumor-resistant C57BL/6 mouse (Snijders, Marchetti et al. 2012; Bouchard, Bouvette et al. 2013). By 1 week after IR, the BALB/c mice show TGF- $\beta$  -dependent inflammation in the mammary gland, and by 1 month after IR, their mammary glands show proliferation (Nguyen, Martinez-Ruiz et al. 2011; Snijders, Marchetti et al. 2012), suggesting that TGF- $\beta$  is associated with inflammation, proliferation, and mammary tumorigenesis in these mice. Consistent with this pattern, BALB/c mice that are heterozygous for TGF- $\beta$  are more resistant to mammary tumorigenesis following IR (Nguyen, Oketch-Rabah et al. 2011). However, the BALB/c mouse also has a polymorphism in a DNA repair gene associated with IR-induced genomic instability (Yu, Okayasu et al. 2001), making it difficult to distinguish potentially overlapping mechanisms.

While inflammatory signals are associated with bystander effects including DNA damage, genomic instability, and mutation, these effects vary between organs in vivo (Chai, Calaf et al. 2013; Chai, Lam et al. 2013), by genotype (Coates, Rundle et al. 2008; Lorimore, Chrystal et al. 2008; Lorimore, Mukherjee et al. 2011), and by cell type (Chai, Calaf et al. 2013). Further research will be required to identify all the underlying factors determining differences in bystander effects, but one variable is the appearance of a protective apoptotic response to cytokines under some conditions (Lorimore, Mukherjee et al. 2011; Lorimore, Rastogi et al. 2013).

One major piece of conflicting evidence comes from a direct test of the essentiality of inflammation to IR-induced carcinogenesis. In a mouse model of lymphoma, a mutation preventing the PIDD/NEMO dependent activation of NF-kB blocks early IR-induced activation of NF-kB (4-24 h) and production of TNF- $\alpha$  (5-48 h) but not lymphoma, suggesting that activation of these inflammatory factors is not essential in this time period (Bock, Krumschnabel et al. 2013). However, this study examined only day one post-IR time points for NF-kB activity, and did not block production of IL6. Later activation of NF-kB or activation of other inflammation-related factors including IL6 and TGF- $\beta$  could therefore potentially have contributed to lymphoma.

Ameziane-El-Hassani, R., M. Talbot, et al. (2015). "NADPH oxidase DUOX1 promotes long-term persistence of oxidative stress after an exposure to irradiation." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u> **112**(16): 5051-5056.

Ameziane-El-Hassani, R., M. Boufraqech, et al. (2010). "Role of H2O2 in RET/PTC1 chromosomal rearrangement produced by ionizing radiation in human thyroid cells." <u>Cancer Res</u> 70(10): 4123-4132.

- Andarawewa, K. L., S. V. Costes, et al. (2011). "Lack of radiation dose or quality dependence of epithelialto-mesenchymal transition (EMT) mediated by transforming growth factor beta." <u>International</u> journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics **79**(5): 1523-1531.
- Andarawewa, K. L., A. C. Erickson, et al. (2007). "Ionizing radiation predisposes nonmalignant human mammary epithelial cells to undergo transforming growth factor beta induced epithelial to mesenchymal transition." <u>Cancer Res</u> 67(18): 8662-8670.
- Andrieu, N., D. F. Easton, et al. (2006). "Effect of chest X-rays on the risk of breast cancer among BRCA1/2 mutation carriers in the international BRCA1/2 carrier cohort study: a report from the EMBRACE, GENEPSO, GEO-HEBON, and IBCCS Collaborators' Group." <u>Journal of clinical oncology</u> : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology **24**(21): 3361-3366.
- Azzam, E. I., S. M. De Toledo, et al. (2002). "Oxidative metabolism modulates signal transduction and micronucleus formation in bystander cells from alpha-particle-irradiated normal human fibroblast cultures." <u>Cancer research</u> **62**(19): 5436-5442.
- Barcellos-Hoff, M. H. (2013). "Does microenvironment contribute to the etiology of estrogen receptornegative breast cancer?" <u>Clin Cancer Res</u> **19**(3): 541-548.
- Barcellos-Hoff, M. H., R. Derynck, et al. (1994). "Transforming growth factor-beta activation in irradiated murine mammary gland." J Clin Invest **93**(2): 892-899.
- Barcellos-Hoff, M. H. and S. A. Ravani (2000). "Irradiated mammary gland stroma promotes the expression of tumorigenic potential by unirradiated epithelial cells." <u>Cancer Res</u> **60**(5): 1254-1260.
- Begg, C. B., I. Ostrovnaya, et al. (2016). "Clonal relationships between lobular carcinoma in situ and other breast malignancies." <u>Breast cancer research : BCR</u> **18**(1): 66.
- Bensimon, J., D. Biard, et al. (2016). "Forced extinction of CD24 stem-like breast cancer marker alone promotes radiation resistance through the control of oxidative stress." <u>Mol Carcinog</u> 55(3): 245-254.
- Berdelle, N., T. Nikolova, et al. (2011). "Artesunate induces oxidative DNA damage, sustained DNA double-strand breaks, and the ATM/ATR damage response in cancer cells." <u>Molecular cancer</u> <u>therapeutics</u> **10**(12): 2224-2233.
- Bernstein, J. L., R. W. Haile, et al. (2010). "Radiation exposure, the ATM Gene, and contralateral breast cancer in the women's environmental cancer and radiation epidemiology study." Journal of the National Cancer Institute **102**(7): 475-483.
- Bernstein, J. L., D. C. Thomas, et al. (2013). "Contralateral breast cancer after radiotherapy among BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: a WECARE study report." <u>European journal of cancer</u> **49**(14): 2979-2985.
- Berruyer, C., F. M. Martin, et al. (2004). "Vanin-1-/- mice exhibit a glutathione-mediated tissue resistance to oxidative stress." <u>Mol Cell Biol</u> **24**(16): 7214-7224.
- Beuving, L. J. (1968). "Mammary tumor formation within outgrowths of transplanted hyperplastic nodules from carcinogen-treated rats." Journal of the National Cancer Institute **40**(6): 1287-1291.
- Beuving, L. J., H. A. Bern, et al. (1967). "Occurrence and Transplantation of Carcinogen-Induced Hyperplastic Nodules in Fischer Rats2." <u>JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute</u> **39**(3): 431-447.
- Beuving, L. J., J. L. J. Faulkin, et al. (1967). "Hyperplastic Lesions in the Mammary Glands of Sprague-Dawley Rats After 7,12-Dimethylbenz[a]anthracene Treatment2." <u>JNCI: Journal of the National</u> <u>Cancer Institute</u> **39**(3): 423-429.
- Bisht, K. S., C. M. Bradbury, et al. (2003). "Inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 with NS-398 and the prevention of radiation-induced transformation, micronuclei formation and clonogenic cell death in C3H 10T1/2 cells." <u>Int J Radiat Biol</u> **79**(11): 879-888.

- Bock, F. J., G. Krumschnabel, et al. (2013). "Loss of PIDD limits NF-kappaB activation and cytokine production but not cell survival or transformation after DNA damage." <u>Cell death and differentiation</u> **20**(4): 546-557.
- Bouchard, G., G. Bouvette, et al. (2013). "Pre-irradiation of mouse mammary gland stimulates cancer cell migration and development of lung metastases." <u>British journal of cancer</u> **109**(7): 1829-1838.
- Broeks, A., L. M. Braaf, et al. (2007). "Identification of women with an increased risk of developing radiation-induced breast cancer: a case only study." <u>Breast cancer research : BCR</u> **9**(2): R26.
- Broerse, J. J., L. A. Hennen, et al. (1987). "Mammary carcinogenesis in different rat strains after irradiation and hormone administration." <u>Int J Radiat Biol Relat Stud Phys Chem Med</u> **51**(6): 1091-1100.
- Brooks, J. D., S. N. Teraoka, et al. (2012). "Variants in activators and downstream targets of ATM, radiation exposure, and contralateral breast cancer risk in the WECARE study." <u>Human mutation</u> **33**(1): 158-164.
- Buonanno, M., S. M. de Toledo, et al. (2011). "Long-term consequences of radiation-induced bystander effects depend on radiation quality and dose and correlate with oxidative stress." <u>Radiation</u> <u>research</u> **175**(4): 405-415.
- Burr, K. L., J. I. Robinson, et al. (2010). "Radiation-induced delayed bystander-type effects mediated by hemopoietic cells." <u>Radiation research</u> **173**(6): 760-768.
- CGAN (Cancer Genome Atlas Network) (2012). "Comprehensive molecular portraits of human breast tumours." <u>Nature</u> **490**(7418): 61-70.
- Chai, Y., G. M. Calaf, et al. (2013). "Radiation induced COX-2 expression and mutagenesis at nontargeted lung tissues of gpt delta transgenic mice." <u>British journal of cancer</u> **108**(1): 91-98.
- Chai, Y., R. K. Lam, et al. (2013). "Radiation-induced non-targeted response in vivo: role of the TGFbeta-TGFBR1-COX-2 signalling pathway." <u>Br J Cancer</u> **108**(5): 1106-1112.
- Choi, K. M., C. M. Kang, et al. (2007). "Ionizing radiation-induced micronucleus formation is mediated by reactive oxygen species that are produced in a manner dependent on mitochondria, Nox1, and JNK." <u>Oncol Rep</u> **17**(5): 1183-1188.
- Clifton, K. H., J. Yasukawa-Barnes, et al. (1985). "Irradiation and prolactin effects on rat mammary carcinogenesis: intrasplenic pituitary and estrone capsule implants." <u>Journal of the National Cancer Institute</u> **75**(1): 167-175.
- Coates, P. J., J. K. Rundle, et al. (2008). "Indirect macrophage responses to ionizing radiation: implications for genotype-dependent bystander signaling." <u>Cancer Res</u> **68**(2): 450-456.
- Connelly, L., W. Barham, et al. (2011). "Inhibition of NF-kappa B activity in mammary epithelium increases tumor latency and decreases tumor burden." <u>Oncogene</u> **30**(12): 1402-1412.
- Cronkite, E. P., C. J. Shellabarger, et al. (1960). "Studies on radiation-induced mammary gland neoplasia in the rat. I. The role of the ovary in the neoplastic response of the breast tissue to total- or partial-body x-irradiation." <u>Radiation research</u> **12**: 81-93.
- Dahm-Daphi, J., C. Sass, et al. (2000). "Comparison of biological effects of DNA damage induced by ionizing radiation and hydrogen peroxide in CHO cells." <u>International journal of radiation biology</u> 76(1): 67-75.
- Daino, K., M. Nishimura, et al. (2018). "Epigenetic dysregulation of key developmental genes in radiation-induced rat mammary carcinomas." Int J Cancer **143**(2): 343-354.
- Das, U., K. Manna, et al. (2014). "Role of ferulic acid in the amelioration of ionizing radiation induced inflammation: a murine model." <u>PLoS One</u> **9**(5): e97599.
- Datta, K., D. R. Hyduke, et al. (2012). "Exposure to ionizing radiation induced persistent gene expression changes in mouse mammary gland." <u>Radiat Oncol</u> **7**: 205.
- Dayal, D., S. M. Martin, et al. (2008). "Hydrogen peroxide mediates the radiation-induced mutator phenotype in mammalian cells." <u>Biochem J</u> **413**(1): 185-191.

- Dayal, D., S. M. Martin, et al. (2009). "Mitochondrial complex II dysfunction can contribute significantly to genomic instability after exposure to ionizing radiation." <u>Radiation research</u> **172**(6): 737-745.
- de Ostrovich, K. K., I. Lambertz, et al. (2008). "Paracrine overexpression of insulin-like growth factor-1 enhances mammary tumorigenesis in vivo." <u>The American journal of pathology</u> **173**(3): 824-834.
- Denissova, N. G., C. M. Nasello, et al. (2012). "Resveratrol protects mouse embryonic stem cells from ionizing radiation by accelerating recovery from DNA strand breakage." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> 33(1): 149-155.
- Deome, K. B., L. J. Faulkin, Jr., et al. (1959). "Development of mammary tumors from hyperplastic alveolar nodules transplanted into gland-free mammary fat pads of female C3H mice." <u>Cancer</u> <u>Res</u> **19**(5): 515-520.
- Dickey, J. S., B. J. Baird, et al. (2012). "Susceptibility to bystander DNA damage is influenced by replication and transcriptional activity." <u>Nucleic acids research</u> **40**(20): 10274-10286.
- Dickey, J. S., B. J. Baird, et al. (2009). "Intercellular communication of cellular stress monitored by gamma-H2AX induction." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **30**(10): 1686-1695.
- Douki, T., J. L. Ravanat, et al. (2006). "Minor contribution of direct ionization to DNA base damage induced by heavy ions." International journal of radiation biology **82**(2): 119-127.
- Driessens, N., S. Versteyhe, et al. (2009). "Hydrogen peroxide induces DNA single- and double-strand breaks in thyroid cells and is therefore a potential mutagen for this organ." <u>Endocrine-related</u> <u>cancer</u> **16**(3): 845-856.
- Ehrhart, E. J., P. Segarini, et al. (1997). "Latent transforming growth factor beta1 activation in situ: quantitative and functional evidence after low-dose gamma-irradiation." <u>FASEB journal : official</u> <u>publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology</u> **11**(12): 991-1002.
- Elahi, E., N. Suraweera, et al. (2009). "Five quantitative trait loci control radiation-induced adenoma multiplicity in Mom1R Apc Min/+ mice." <u>PLoS One</u> **4**(2): e4388.
- Esquivel-Velazquez, M., P. Ostoa-Saloma, et al. (2015). "The role of cytokines in breast cancer development and progression." J Interferon Cytokine Res **35**(1): 1-16.
- Ewan, K. B., R. L. Henshall-Powell, et al. (2002). "Transforming growth factor-beta1 mediates cellular response to DNA damage in situ." <u>Cancer Res</u> **62**(20): 5627-5631.
- Faulkin, J. L. J., C. J. Shellabarger, et al. (1967). "Hyperplastic Lesions of Sprague-Dawley Rat Mammary Glands After X Irradiation2." JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute **39**(3): 449-459.
- Fibach, E. and E. A. Rachmilewitz (2015). "The Effect of Fermented Papaya Preparation on Radioactive Exposure." <u>Radiation research</u> **184**(3): 304-313.
- Fogarty, C. E. and A. Bergmann (2017). "Killers creating new life: caspases drive apoptosis-induced proliferation in tissue repair and disease." <u>Cell death and differentiation</u> **24**(8): 1390-1400.
- Francis, S. M., J. Bergsied, et al. (2009). "A functional connection between pRB and transforming growth factor beta in growth inhibition and mammary gland development." <u>Molecular and cellular</u> <u>biology</u> **29**(16): 4455-4466.
- Francis, S. M., S. Chakrabarti, et al. (2011). "A context-specific role for retinoblastoma proteindependent negative growth control in suppressing mammary tumorigenesis." <u>PLoS One</u> 6(2): e16434.
- Garraway, L. A. and E. S. Lander (2013). "Lessons from the cancer genome." Cell 153(1): 17-37.
- Gomes, L. R., L. F. Terra, et al. (2012). "TGF-beta1 modulates the homeostasis between MMPs and MMP inhibitors through p38 MAPK and ERK1/2 in highly invasive breast cancer cells." <u>BMC Cancer</u> **12**: 26.
- Gradzka, I. and T. Iwanenko (2005). "A non-radioactive, PFGE-based assay for low levels of DNA doublestrand breaks in mammalian cells." <u>DNA repair</u> **4**(10): 1129-1139.
- Greenman, C., P. Stephens, et al. (2007). "Patterns of somatic mutation in human cancer genomes." <u>Nature</u> **446**(7132): 153-158.

- Gustin, J. P., B. Karakas, et al. (2009). "Knockin of mutant PIK3CA activates multiple oncogenic pathways." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u> **106**(8): 2835-2840.
- Haag, J. D., L. C. Hsu, et al. (1996). "Allelic imbalance in mammary carcinomas induced by either 7,12dimethylbenz[a]anthracene or ionizing radiation in rats carrying genes conferring differential susceptibilities to mammary carcinogenesis." Mol Carcinog 17(3): 134-143.
- Haddadi, G. H., A. Rezaeyan, et al. (2017). "Hesperidin as Radioprotector against Radiation-induced Lung Damage in Rat: A Histopathological Study." J Med Phys **42**(1): 25-32.
- Haegele, A. D., P. Wolfe, et al. (1998). "X-radiation induces 8-hydroxy-2'-deoxyguanosine formation in vivo in rat mammary gland DNA." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **19**(7): 1319-1321.
- Han, W., S. Chen, et al. (2010). "Nitric oxide mediated DNA double strand breaks induced in proliferating bystander cells after alpha-particle irradiation." <u>Mutation research</u> **684**(1-2): 81-89.
- Hanahan, D. and R. A. Weinberg (2011). "Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation." <u>Cell</u> **144**(5): 646-674.
- Haslam, S. Z. and H. A. Bern (1977). "Histopathogenesis of 7,12-diemthylbenz(a)anthracene-induced rat mammary tumors." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of</u> <u>America</u> 74(9): 4020-4024.
- Hernandez, L., M. Terradas, et al. (2013). "Increased mammogram-induced DNA damage in mammary epithelial cells aged in vitro." <u>PLoS One</u> **8**(5): e63052.
- Holtzman, S., J. P. Stone, et al. (1979). "Synergism of diethylstilbestrol and radiation in mammary carcinogenesis in female F344 rats." Journal of the National Cancer Institute **63**(4): 1071-1074.
- Holtzman, S., J. P. Stone, et al. (1981). "Synergism of estrogens and X-rays in mammary carcinogenesis in female ACI rats." Journal of the National Cancer Institute **67**(2): 455-459.
- Iizuka, D., M. Sasatani, et al. (2017). "Hydrogen Peroxide Enhances TGFbeta-mediated Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition in Human Mammary Epithelial MCF-10A Cells." <u>Anticancer Res</u> 37(3): 987-995.
- Iliopoulos, D., H. A. Hirsch, et al. (2009). "An epigenetic switch involving NF-kappaB, Lin28, Let-7 MicroRNA, and IL6 links inflammation to cell transformation." <u>Cell</u> **139**(4): 693-706.
- Iliopoulos, D., H. A. Hirsch, et al. (2011). "Inducible formation of breast cancer stem cells and their dynamic equilibrium with non-stem cancer cells via IL6 secretion." <u>Proceedings of the National</u> <u>Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u> **108**(4): 1397-1402.
- Iliopoulos, D., S. A. Jaeger, et al. (2010). "STAT3 activation of miR-21 and miR-181b-1 via PTEN and CYLD are part of the epigenetic switch linking inflammation to cancer." <u>Mol Cell</u> **39**(4): 493-506.
- Illa-Bochaca, I., H. Ouyang, et al. (2014). "Densely ionizing radiation acts via the microenvironment to promote aggressive Trp53-null mammary carcinomas." <u>Cancer Res</u> **74**(23): 7137-7148.
- Imaoka, T., M. Nishimura, et al. (2006). "Persistent cell proliferation of terminal end buds precedes radiation-induced rat mammary carcinogenesis." In Vivo **20**(3): 353-358.
- Inano, H., K. Suzuki, et al. (1991). "Pregnancy-dependent initiation in tumorigenesis of Wistar rat mammary glands by 60Co-irradiation." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **12**(6): 1085-1090.
- Inano, H., K. Suzuki, et al. (1996). "Relationship between induction of mammary tumors and change of testicular functions in male rats following gamma-ray irradiation and/or diethylstilbestrol." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **17**(2): 355-360.
- Ismail, I. H., S. Nystrom, et al. (2005). "Activation of ataxia telangiectasia mutated by DNA strand breakinducing agents correlates closely with the number of DNA double strand breaks." J Biol Chem 280(6): 4649-4655.
- Jackson, J. H., E. Gajewski, et al. (1989). "Damage to the bases in DNA induced by stimulated human neutrophils." J Clin Invest 84(5): 1644-1649.

- Jones, J. A., P. K. Riggs, et al. (2007). "Ionizing radiation-induced bioeffects in space and strategies to reduce cellular injury and carcinogenesis." Aviat Space Environ Med **78**(4 Suppl): A67-78.
- Kim, E. S., M. S. Kim, et al. (2004). "TGF-beta-induced upregulation of MMP-2 and MMP-9 depends on p38 MAPK, but not ERK signaling in MCF10A human breast epithelial cells." <u>Int J Oncol</u> 25(5): 1375-1382.
- Koh, T. J. and L. A. DiPietro (2011). "Inflammation and wound healing: the role of the macrophage." <u>Expert Rev Mol Med</u> **13**: e23.
- Korkaya, H., A. Paulson, et al. (2009). "Regulation of mammary stem/progenitor cells by PTEN/Akt/betacatenin signaling." <u>PLoS biology</u> **7**(6): e1000121.
- Korkola, J. E. and M. C. Archer (1999). "Resistance to mammary tumorigenesis in Copenhagen rats is associated with the loss of preneoplastic lesions." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **20**(2): 221-227.
- Kutanzi, K. R., I. Koturbash, et al. (2010). "Imbalance between apoptosis and cell proliferation during early stages of mammary gland carcinogenesis in ACI rats." <u>Mutation research</u> **694**(1-2): 1-6.
- Landen, N. X., D. Li, et al. (2016). "Transition from inflammation to proliferation: a critical step during wound healing." <u>Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS</u> **73**(20): 3861-3885.
- Lemon, H. M., P. F. Kumar, et al. (1989). "Inhibition of radiogenic mammary carcinoma in rats by estriol or tamoxifen." <u>Cancer</u> **63**(9): 1685-1692.
- Liakou, E., E. Mavrogonatou, et al. (2016). "Ionizing radiation-mediated premature senescence and paracrine interactions with cancer cells enhance the expression of syndecan 1 in human breast stromal fibroblasts: the role of TGF-beta." Aging (Albany NY) **8**(8): 1650-1669.
- Little, M. P. (2009). "Heterogeneity of variation of relative risk by age at exposure in the Japanese atomic bomb survivors." <u>Radiation and environmental biophysics</u> **48**(3): 253-262.
- Lorat, Y., C. U. Brunner, et al. (2015). "Nanoscale analysis of clustered DNA damage after high-LET irradiation by quantitative electron microscopy--the heavy burden to repair." <u>DNA repair</u> **28**: 93-106.
- Loree, J., I. Koturbash, et al. (2006). "Radiation-induced molecular changes in rat mammary tissue: possible implications for radiation-induced carcinogenesis." <u>International journal of radiation</u> <u>biology</u> **82**(11): 805-815.
- Lorimore, S. A., J. A. Chrystal, et al. (2008). "Chromosomal instability in unirradiated hemaopoietic cells induced by macrophages exposed in vivo to ionizing radiation." <u>Cancer Res</u> **68**(19): 8122-8126.
- Lorimore, S. A., D. Mukherjee, et al. (2011). "Long-lived inflammatory signaling in irradiated bone marrow is genome dependent." <u>Cancer Res</u> **71**(20): 6485-6491.
- Lorimore, S. A., S. Rastogi, et al. (2013). "The influence of p53 functions on radiation-induced inflammatory bystander-type signaling in murine bone marrow." <u>Radiation research</u> **179**(4): 406-415.
- Lorimore, S. A. and E. G. Wright (2003). "Radiation-induced genomic instability and bystander effects: related inflammatory-type responses to radiation-induced stress and injury? A review." <u>Int J</u> <u>Radiat Biol</u> **79**(1): 15-25.
- Lumniczky, K. and G. Safrany (2015). "The impact of radiation therapy on the antitumor immunity: local effects and systemic consequences." <u>Cancer Lett</u> **356**(1): 114-125.
- Luo, M., H. Fan, et al. (2009). "Mammary epithelial-specific ablation of the focal adhesion kinase suppresses mammary tumorigenesis by affecting mammary cancer stem/progenitor cells." <u>Cancer research</u> 69(2): 466-474.
- Maffini, M. V., J. M. Calabro, et al. (2005). "Stromal regulation of neoplastic development: agedependent normalization of neoplastic mammary cells by mammary stroma." <u>Am J Pathol</u> **167**(5): 1405-1410.
- Maffini, M. V., A. M. Soto, et al. (2004). "The stroma as a crucial target in rat mammary gland carcinogenesis." J Cell Sci **117**(Pt 8): 1495-1502.

- Manna, K., U. Das, et al. (2015). "Naringin inhibits gamma radiation-induced oxidative DNA damage and inflammation, by modulating p53 and NF-kappaB signaling pathways in murine splenocytes." <u>Free Radic Res</u> **49**(4): 422-439.
- Martins, C. P., L. Brown-Swigart, et al. (2006). "Modeling the therapeutic efficacy of p53 restoration in tumors." <u>Cell</u> **127**(7): 1323-1334.
- Marusyk, A., D. P. Tabassum, et al. (2014). "Non-cell-autonomous driving of tumour growth supports sub-clonal heterogeneity." <u>Nature</u> **514**(7520): 54-58.
- Maxwell, C. A., M. C. Fleisch, et al. (2008). "Targeted and nontargeted effects of ionizing radiation that impact genomic instability." <u>Cancer Res</u> **68**(20): 8304-8311.
- McDaniel, S. M., K. K. Rumer, et al. (2006). "Remodeling of the mammary microenvironment after lactation promotes breast tumor cell metastasis." <u>Am J Pathol</u> **168**(2): 608-620.
- Medina, D. and H. J. Thompson (2000). A Comparison of the Salient Features of Mouse, Rat, and Human Mammary Tumorigenesis. <u>Methods in Mammary Gland Biology and Breast Cancer Research</u>. M.
  M. Ip and B. B. Asch. Boston, MA, Springer US: 31-36.
- Millikan, R. C., J. S. Player, et al. (2005). "Polymorphisms in DNA repair genes, medical exposure to ionizing radiation, and breast cancer risk." <u>Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention : a</u> <u>publication of the American Association for Cancer Research, cosponsored by the American</u> <u>Society of Preventive Oncology</u> **14**(10): 2326-2334.
- Moore, S. R., S. Marsden, et al. (2005). "Genomic instability in human lymphocytes irradiated with individual charged particles: involvement of tumor necrosis factor alpha in irradiated cells but not bystander cells." <u>Radiation research</u> **163**(2): 183-190.
- Mukherjee, D., P. J. Coates, et al. (2014). "Responses to ionizing radiation mediated by inflammatory mechanisms." J Pathol **232**(3): 289-299.
- Mukhopadhyay, R., S. V. Costes, et al. (2010). "Promotion of variant human mammary epithelial cell outgrowth by ionizing radiation: an agent-based model supported by in vitro studies." <u>Breast cancer research : BCR</u> **12**(1): R11.
- Nakamura, J., E. R. Purvis, et al. (2003). "Micromolar concentrations of hydrogen peroxide induce oxidative DNA lesions more efficiently than millimolar concentrations in mammalian cells." <u>Nucleic acids research</u> **31**(6): 1790-1795.
- Natarajan, M., C. F. Gibbons, et al. (2007). "Oxidative stress signalling: a potential mediator of tumour necrosis factor alpha-induced genomic instability in primary vascular endothelial cells." <u>Br J</u> <u>Radiol</u> 80 Spec No 1: S13-22.
- Nguyen, D. H., E. Fredlund, et al. (2013). "Murine microenvironment metaprofiles associate with human cancer etiology and intrinsic subtypes." <u>Clin Cancer Res</u> **19**(6): 1353-1362.
- Nguyen, D. H., H. Martinez-Ruiz, et al. (2011). "Consequences of epithelial or stromal TGFbeta1 depletion in the mammary gland." <u>J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia</u> **16**(2): 147-155.
- Nguyen, D. H., H. A. Oketch-Rabah, et al. (2011). "Radiation acts on the microenvironment to affect breast carcinogenesis by distinct mechanisms that decrease cancer latency and affect tumor type." <u>Cancer Cell</u> **19**(5): 640-651.
- Oya, Y., K. Yamamoto, et al. (1986). "The biological activity of hydrogen peroxide. I. Induction of chromosome-type aberrations susceptible to inhibition by scavengers of hydroxyl radicals in human embryonic fibroblasts." <u>Mutation research</u> **172**(3): 245-253.
- Ozyurt, H., O. Cevik, et al. (2014). "Quercetin protects radiation-induced DNA damage and apoptosis in kidney and bladder tissues of rats." <u>Free Radic Res</u> **48**(10): 1247-1255.
- Padula, G., M. V. Ponzinibbio, et al. (2016). "Possible radioprotective effect of folic acid supplementation on low dose ionizing radiation-induced genomic instability in vitro." <u>Indian J Exp Biol</u> 54(8): 537-543.

Park, C. C., R. L. Henshall-Powell, et al. (2003). "Ionizing radiation induces heritable disruption of epithelial cell interactions." <u>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</u> **100**(19): 10728-10733.

- Pazhanisamy, S. K., H. Li, et al. (2011). "NADPH oxidase inhibition attenuates total body irradiationinduced haematopoietic genomic instability." <u>Mutagenesis</u> **26**(3): 431-435.
- Perez, D. A., J. P. Vago, et al. (2014). "Switching off key signaling survival molecules to switch on the resolution of inflammation." Mediators Inflamm **2014**: 829851.
- Perrott, K. M., C. D. Wiley, et al. (2017). "Apigenin suppresses the senescence-associated secretory phenotype and paracrine effects on breast cancer cells." <u>Geroscience</u> **39**(2): 161-173.
- Peterson, N. C., M. D. Servinsky, et al. (2005). "Tamoxifen resistance and Her2/neu expression in an aged, irradiated rat breast carcinoma model." <u>Carcinogenesis</u> **26**(9): 1542-1552.
- Pijpe, A., N. Andrieu, et al. (2012). "Exposure to diagnostic radiation and risk of breast cancer among carriers of BRCA1/2 mutations: retrospective cohort study (GENE-RAD-RISK)." <u>BMJ</u> **345**: e5660.
- Podsypanina, K., K. Politi, et al. (2008). "Oncogene cooperation in tumor maintenance and tumor recurrence in mouse mammary tumors induced by Myc and mutant Kras." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u> **105**(13): 5242-5247.
- Poirier, M. C. and F. A. Beland (1994). "DNA adduct measurements and tumor incidence during chronic carcinogen exposure in rodents." <u>Environmental health perspectives</u> **102 Suppl 6**: 161-165.
- Purnell, D. M. (1980). "The relationship of terminal duct hyperplasia to mammary carcinoma in 7,12dimethylbenz(alpha)anthracene-treated LEW/Mai rats." <u>The American journal of pathology</u> 98(2): 311-324.
- Radice, G. L., M. C. Ferreira-Cornwell, et al. (1997). "Precocious mammary gland development in Pcadherin-deficient mice." <u>The Journal of cell biology</u> **139**(4): 1025-1032.
- Rastogi, S., P. J. Coates, et al. (2012). "Bystander-type effects mediated by long-lived inflammatory signaling in irradiated bone marrow." <u>Radiation research</u> **177**(3): 244-250.
- Rivera, E. M., S. D. Hill, et al. (1981). "Organ culture passage enhances the oncogenicity of carcinogeninduced hyperplastic mammary nodules." In vitro **17**(2): 159-166.
- Russo, I. H. and J. Russo (1996). "Mammary gland neoplasia in long-term rodent studies." <u>Environmental</u> <u>health perspectives</u> **104**(9): 938-967.
- Russo, J., J. Saby, et al. (1977). "Pathogenesis of Mammary Carcinomas Induced in Rats by 7, 12-Dimethylbenz[a]anthracene2." <u>JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute</u> **59**(2): 435-445.
- Sandhu, J. K. and H. C. Birnboim (1997). "Mutagenicity and cytotoxicity of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species in the MN-11 murine tumor cell line." <u>Mutation research</u> **379**(2): 241-252.
- Sansone, P., G. Storci, et al. (2007). "IL-6 triggers malignant features in mammospheres from human ductal breast carcinoma and normal mammary gland." J Clin Invest **117**(12): 3988-4002.
- Sasser, A. K., N. J. Sullivan, et al. (2007). "Interleukin-6 is a potent growth factor for ER-alpha-positive human breast cancer." <u>FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American</u> <u>Societies for Experimental Biology</u> **21**(13): 3763-3770.
- Schonfeld, S. J., P. Bhatti, et al. (2010). "Polymorphisms in oxidative stress and inflammation pathway genes, low-dose ionizing radiation, and the risk of breast cancer among US radiologic technologists." <u>Cancer Causes Control</u> **21**(11): 1857-1866.
- Schreiber, R. D., L. J. Old, et al. (2011). "Cancer immunoediting: integrating immunity's roles in cancer suppression and promotion." <u>Science</u> **331**(6024): 1565-1570.
- Seager, A. L., U. K. Shah, et al. (2012). "Pro-oxidant induced DNA damage in human lymphoblastoid cells: homeostatic mechanisms of genotoxic tolerance." <u>Toxicological sciences : an official journal of</u> <u>the Society of Toxicology</u> **128**(2): 387-397.
- Segaloff, A. and W. S. Maxfield (1971). "The synergism between radiation and estrogen in the production of mammary cancer in the rat." <u>Cancer Res</u> **31**(2): 166-168.

- Shao, C., M. Folkard, et al. (2008). "Role of TGF-beta1 and nitric oxide in the bystander response of irradiated glioma cells." <u>Oncogene</u> **27**(4): 434-440.
- Sharma, V., L. B. Collins, et al. (2016). "Oxidative stress at low levels can induce clustered DNA lesions leading to NHEJ mediated mutations." <u>Oncotarget</u> **7**(18): 25377-25390.
- Shellabarger, C. J., J. P. Stone, et al. (1976). "Synergism between neutron radiation and diethylstilbestrol in the production of mammary adenocarcinomas in the rat." <u>Cancer research</u> **36**(3): 1019-1022.
- Sinha, D. and T. L. Dao (1974). "A Direct Mechanism of Mammary Carcinogenesis Induced by 7,12-Dimethylbenz[a]anthracene2." JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute **53**(3): 841-846.
- Sinha, D. and T. L. Dao (1977). "Hyperplastic alveolar nodules of the rat mammary gland: tumorproducing capability in vivo and in vitro." Cancer letters **2**(3): 153-160.
- Snijders, A. M., F. Marchetti, et al. (2012). "Genetic differences in transcript responses to low-dose ionizing radiation identify tissue functions associated with breast cancer susceptibility." <u>PLoS</u> <u>One</u> 7(10): e45394.
- Soler, D., J. Pampalona, et al. (2009). "Radiation sensitivity increases with proliferation-associated telomere dysfunction in nontransformed human epithelial cells." <u>Aging Cell</u> **8**(4): 414-425.
- Solleveld, H. A., M. J. van Zwieten, et al. (1986). "Effects of X-irradiation, ovariohysterectomy and estradiol-17 beta on incidence, benign/malignant ratio and multiplicity of rat mammary neoplasms--a preliminary report." <u>Leuk Res</u> **10**(7): 755-759.
- Sourisseau, T., K. J. Harrington, et al. (2011). "Changes in tumor tissue organization in collagen-I sensitize cells to ionizing radiation in an ex vivo model of solid mammary tumor growth and local invasion." <u>Cell Cycle</u> **10**(22): 3979-3981.
- Sprung, C. N., A. Ivashkevich, et al. (2015). "Oxidative DNA damage caused by inflammation may link to stress-induced non-targeted effects." <u>Cancer Lett</u> **356**(1): 72-81.
- Stanicka, J., E. G. Russell, et al. (2015). "NADPH oxidase-generated hydrogen peroxide induces DNA damage in mutant FLT3-expressing leukemia cells." <u>The Journal of biological chemistry</u> **290**(15): 9348-9361.
- Stevens, C. R., N. Bucurenci, et al. (1992). "Application of methionine as a detector molecule for the assessment of oxygen radical generation by human neutrophils and endothelial cells." <u>Free</u> <u>Radic Res Commun</u> **17**(2): 143-154.
- Stratton, M. R., P. J. Campbell, et al. (2009). "The cancer genome." <u>Nature</u> **458**(7239): 719-724.
- Studebaker, A. W., G. Storci, et al. (2008). "Fibroblasts isolated from common sites of breast cancer metastasis enhance cancer cell growth rates and invasiveness in an interleukin-6-dependent manner." <u>Cancer Res</u> 68(21): 9087-9095.
- Suman, S., M. D. Johnson, et al. (2012). "Exposure to ionizing radiation causes long-term increase in serum estradiol and activation of PI3K-Akt signaling pathway in mouse mammary gland." <u>International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics</u> 84(2): 500-507.
- Tang, J., I. Fernandez-Garcia, et al. (2014). "Irradiation of juvenile, but not adult, mammary gland increases stem cell self-renewal and estrogen receptor negative tumors." <u>Stem Cells</u> **32**(3): 649-661.
- Tao, L., D. Xiang, et al. (2017). "Induced p53 loss in mouse luminal cells causes clonal expansion and development of mammary tumours." <u>Nat Commun</u> **8**: 14431.
- Tsai, K. K., E. Y. Chuang, et al. (2005). "Cellular mechanisms for low-dose ionizing radiation-induced perturbation of the breast tissue microenvironment." <u>Cancer Res</u> **65**(15): 6734-6744.
- Ullrich, R. L. and R. J. Preston (1991). "Radiation induced mammary cancer." <u>Journal of radiation</u> <u>research</u> **32 Suppl 2**: 104-109.
- Umesako, S., K. Fujisawa, et al. (2005). "Atm heterozygous deficiency enhances development of mammary carcinomas in p53 heterozygous knockout mice." <u>Breast cancer research : BCR</u> **7**(1): R164-170.

- Unger, K., J. Wienberg, et al. (2010). "Novel gene rearrangements in transformed breast cells identified by high-resolution breakpoint analysis of chromosomal aberrations." <u>Endocrine-related cancer</u> **17**(1): 87-98.
- Vandin, F., E. Upfal, et al. (2012). "De novo discovery of mutated driver pathways in cancer." <u>Genome</u> <u>research</u> **22**(2): 375-385.
- Vogelstein, B., N. Papadopoulos, et al. (2013). "Cancer genome landscapes." <u>Science</u> **339**(6127): 1546-1558.
- Wang, J., F. Su, et al. (2011). "Mechanisms of increased risk of tumorigenesis in Atm and Brca1 double heterozygosity." <u>Radiat Oncol</u> **6**: 96.
- Wang, T. J., C. C. Wu, et al. (2015). "Induction of Non-Targeted Stress Responses in Mammary Tissues by Heavy Ions." <u>PLoS One</u> **10**(8): e0136307.
- Wang, Y., J. Waters, et al. (2014). "Clonal evolution in breast cancer revealed by single nucleus genome sequencing." <u>Nature</u> **512**(7513): 155-160.
- Welsch, C. W., M. Goodrich-Smith, et al. (1981). "Effect of an estrogen antagonist (tamoxifen) on the initiation and progression of gamma-irradiation-induced mammary tumors in female Sprague-Dawley rats." <u>European journal of cancer & clinical oncology</u> **17**(12): 1255-1258.
- Winyard, P. G., S. P. Faux, et al. (1992). "Bleomycin-induced unscheduled DNA synthesis in nonpermeabilized human and rat hepatocytes is not paralleled by 8-oxo-7,8dihydrodeoxyguanosine formation." <u>Biochem Pharmacol</u> **44**(7): 1255-1260.
- Yang, H., V. Anzenberg, et al. (2007). "The time dependence of bystander responses induced by iron-ion radiation in normal human skin fibroblasts." <u>Radiation research</u> **168**(3): 292-298.
- Yang, H., N. Asaad, et al. (2005). "Medium-mediated intercellular communication is involved in bystander responses of X-ray-irradiated normal human fibroblasts." <u>Oncogene</u> 24(12): 2096-2103.
- Yang, T.-H., L. M. Craise, et al. (1992). "Chromosomal changes in cultured human epithelial cells transformed by low- and high-LET radiation." <u>Adv Space Res</u> **12**(2-3): 127-136.
- Yang, T. C., K. A. Georgy, et al. (1997). "Initiation of oncogenic transformation in human mammary epithelial cells by charged particles." <u>Radiat Oncol Investig</u> **5**(3): 134-138.
- Yang, X. R., J. K. Killian, et al. (2015). "Characterization of genomic alterations in radiation-associated breast cancer among childhood cancer survivors, using comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) arrays." <u>PLoS One</u> **10**(3): e0116078.
- Yates, L. R., M. Gerstung, et al. (2015). "Subclonal diversification of primary breast cancer revealed by multiregion sequencing." <u>Nat Med</u> **21**(7): 751-759.
- Yu, Y., R. Okayasu, et al. (2001). "Elevated breast cancer risk in irradiated BALB/c mice associates with unique functional polymorphism of the Prkdc (DNA-dependent protein kinase catalytic subunit) gene." <u>Cancer Res</u> 61(5): 1820-1824.
- Zhang, P., A. Lo, et al. (2015). "Identification of genetic loci that control mammary tumor susceptibility through the host microenvironment." <u>Sci Rep</u> **5**: 8919.
- Zhang, Q., L. Zhu, et al. (2017). "Ionizing radiation promotes CCL27 secretion from keratinocytes through the cross talk between TNF-alpha and ROS." <u>J Biochem Mol Toxicol</u> **31**(3).
- Zhou, H., V. N. Ivanov, et al. (2005). "Mechanism of radiation-induced bystander effect: role of the cyclooxygenase-2 signaling pathway." <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America</u> **102**(41): 14641-14646.
- Zhou, H., V. N. Ivanov, et al. (2008). "Mitochondrial function and nuclear factor-kappaB-mediated signaling in radiation-induced bystander effects." <u>Cancer Res</u> **68**(7): 2233-2240.